

Vanguard research | Megatrends

# How America innovates

For institutional and sophisticated investors only. Not for public distribution.

## **About the Megatrends series**

Megatrends have accompanied humankind throughout history. From the Neolithic Revolution to the Information Age, innovation has been the catalyst for profound socioeconomic, cultural, and political transformation. The term "Megatrends" was popularized by author John Naisbitt, who was interested in the transformative forces that have a major impact on both businesses and societies, and thus the potential to change all areas of our personal and professional lives.

Vanguard's "Megatrends" is a research effort that investigates fundamental shifts in the global economic landscape that are likely to affect the financial services industry and broader society. A megatrend may bring market growth or destroy it, increase competition or add barriers to entry, and create threats or uncover opportunities. Exploring the long-term nature of massive shifts in technology, demographics, and globalization can help us better understand how such forces may shape future markets, individuals, and the investing landscape in the years ahead.

#### Vanguard Investment Strategy Group's Global Economics Team

Joseph Davis, Ph.D., Global Chief Economist

#### Americas

Roger A. Aliaga-Díaz, Ph.D., Chief Economist Andrew J. Patterson, CFA Joshua M. Hirt, CFA Asawari Sathe, M.Sc. Adam J. Schickling, CFA

#### Europe

Jumana Saleheen, Ph.D., Chief Economist Shaan Raithatha, CFA Roxane Spitznagel, M.Sc. Lulu Al Ghussein, M.Sc.

#### **Asia-Pacific**

Qian Wang, Ph.D., Chief Economist Alexis Gray, M.Sc. Maximilian Wieland

## Megatrend

## **How America innovates**

- We expect the U.S. economy to experience its fastest level of productivity growth in decades over the next several years. Although official productivity measures have been anemic since the global financial crisis, our analysis reveals that invaluable discoveries were happening in less-commercial research disciplines, requiring time to diffuse into commercial applications.
- The origination of innovative research in the United States has become more diversified as technology has broadened network effects beyond geographic parameters. Additionally, the geographic and ethnic diversity of research collaborators has improved markedly, contributing to the recent increase in groundbreaking innovation.
- Innovation begets higher productivity growth, which in turn facilitates higher wages and better living standards. Higher productivity growth may also lower the risk of stagflation as monetary policy tightens in most developed economies.

Authors



Joseph Davis, Ph.D.



Adam J. Schickling, CFA



David Diwik, M.Sc.

## Introduction

In early 2020, shortly before COVID-19 was declared a pandemic, Vanguard published the research paper The Idea Multiplier: An Acceleration in Innovation Is Coming (Davis et al., 2020). The report was the culmination of a years-long effort to better understand what drives innovation and when-or if-we might escape the productivity malaise that set in after the 2008 global financial crisis. Tracking academic paper citations, one of the earliest visible stages of idea generation, we constructed a time series of idea generation and sharing and found that they were statistically significant leading indicators of productivity. We also found that although great ideas may be getting harder to find, the global sharing of ideas accelerated by technology and globalization has caused a rapid growth of new, groundbreaking ideas in recent years, suggesting a productivity surge in the first half of the 2020s.

Plenty has changed since the paper's publication, but the importance of innovation and how it improves our daily lives has not. Expanding on this research, we have analyzed what other forces determine idea generation, the potentially monumental innovations we're monitoring, and how knowledge networks have evolved in a global and diverse knowledge economy.

## General-purpose technologies and cross-industry idea sharing

A general-purpose technology (GPT) is an innovation significant enough to alter an entire economic landscape. Several examples likely come to mind: the steam engine, internal combustion, electricity, computers, and artificial intelligence. GPTs don't necessarily have to be physical innovations; lean production practices are heavily credited with Japan's post-World War II resurgence and were replicated throughout much of the developed world in the 1980s and 1990s, narrowing Japan's manufacturing competitive advantage (Helper and Kleiner, 2009). The irony of GPTs is that as innovative as they may be, they often result in lower near-term productivity growth. This is referred to as the productivity J curve (Figure 1), wherein through both mismeasurement and the creative destruction emanating from an economy-altering innovation, productivity growth is underwhelming in the years immediately after a GPT discovery.<sup>1</sup>

#### FIGURE 1. The inconvenient truth about innovation



Source: Vanguard.

1 Creative destruction refers to the dismantling of existing, or traditional, business practices to make way for an innovative, more productive process.

An intuitive way to think of this delay between GPT discovery and economic productivity growth is the switching costs, both in time and capital resource allocation. For instance, the integration of electricity into manufacturing, which facilitated 24-hour production along with a slate of other productivity enhancements, took decades to complete (Goldfarb, 2002).<sup>2</sup>

This also helps to explain why the 1990s information technology revolution, as monumental as it seemed, did not immediately predate a productivity boom on a scale that the innovation's significance would have hinted (Solow, 1987).<sup>3</sup> In fact, the productivity effects of the nearly three-decade-old IT GPT are still materializing (Remes, Mischke, and Krishnan, 2018), in large part because of e-commerce and remote work in the COVID-19 age. Although the technologies enabling remote work, such as computers and videoconferencing, have existed for decades, the prevalence of remote work plateaued in the early 2000s because of a variety

## A slow, then rapid, adoption of remote work

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 forced many workplaces to temporarily close, triggering a massive shift to remote work. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the percentage of U.S. workers working at least one day a week at home marginally rose from 7% in 1999 to 9.5% in 2010. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that in May 2020, roughly 40% of all workers were working from home at least occasionally. At the end of 2020, this percentage was closer to 30%. Post-COVID expectations for the percentage of the workforce with remote work flexibility are around 40% (Lund et al., 2021). of social factors, only to be widely adopted as a result of COVID. One can only fathom how COVID-containment approaches would have differed just 30 years ago because of technological constraints.

The delay between GPT discovery and economic implementation may be driven by cultural and behavioral factors, or the time needed for other industries to learn how to integrate the new technology into their processes. This crossindustry relevance separates a GPT from an industry-specific innovation, but the difference is not always evident in the early years following discovery or may depend on another technological discovery. Initial skeptics of personal computers who viewed the innovation as limited to hobbyists may have been correct, if not for the internet.

Knowledge is a necessary condition of technology, and just as GPTs realize their full potential by integrating with the wider economy, some knowledge discoveries—termed frontier knowledge—propel further discovery in farreaching, sometimes seemingly unrelated, research disciplines. This complicated relationship can obscure the significance of present knowledge discovery and cause us to overlook groundbreaking research and discovery in lesscommercial industries.

<sup>2</sup> Goldfarb wrote, "Indeed, a large share of electrification and subsequent productivity gains occurred in the 1920s, a full forty years after Edison installed the first electric networks."

<sup>3</sup> This is sometimes referred to as the Solow computer paradox, after Robert Solow's 1987 remark that "you can see the computer age everywhere but in the productivity statistics." Several years later, U.S. productivity growth moderately rose, averaging 0.9% from 1992–1999.

**Figure 2** demonstrates this concept by tracking the progression of significant ideas across research disciplines. As in our *Idea Multiplier* paper, we start the filtering process by tracking the most-cited research papers across a selection of disciplines. The filtering criterion is not perfect—some significant ideas can sit stagnant and uncited for decades before finding an ultimate application—but it's a suitable proxy for the highest-potential ideas. We discover that biology, engineering, and mathematics are upstream disciplines and therefore are more common originators of frontier knowledge, with their discoveries branching into other disciplines before finding a commercial application. In essence, upstream disciplines often produce the frontier knowledge necessary for downstream disciplines to expand upon and commercialize. This can cause a disconnect between the actual level of innovation and discovery occurring in scientific disciplines and headline economic productivity measures borne from commercial utilization. In the United States, productivity growth has been declining since the 1990s but has been particularly disappointing since the global financial crisis. Many explanations have been posited to explain this slowdown, but our research suggests another possible explanation: Innovations over the past 15 years were primarily frontier discoveries or those that occurred in upstream disciplines and needed time to find their downstream, commercial applications.4

<sup>4</sup> Research by Marx and Fuegi (2020) finds that the percentage of patent applications citing an academic article on their first page rose from 6.7% in 1976 to 25.6% in 2018.



### FIGURE 2. Innovation shocks are occurring in downstream disciplines

**Notes:** Connection strength is measured by the number of normalized academic citations connecting two disciplines. (Normalized citations control for a discipline's publication volume.) Innovation activity is measured via our Idea Multiplier metric, which captures the intensity of citation activity in select industries. Innovation shocks are structural breaks in an industry's citation activity. (For more information on structural changes in linear regression models, see <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/strucchange/strucchange.pdf">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/strucchange/strucchange.pdf</a>.) The size of the shapes implies the volume of innovation. **Sources:** Vanguard calculations, based on data from Clarivate Web of Science.

The placement of disciplines on the upstream/downstream gradient has moved over time, based on fundamental economic transformations, as shown in **Figure 3**. Before the 2000s, computer science was a downstream discipline, relying on frontier knowledge from other disciplines to construct commercial applications. However, as computers were integrated throughout the economy, computer science discoveries became necessary for innovation in other fields. Inversely, chemistry was an upstream discipline before the turn of the millennium, but discoveries in the energy sector have moved it toward the downstream side. Regardless of location on the upstream/ downstream gradient, research disciplines are more likely than ever to cite research outside of their field—three times more likely than they were in 1980. Therefore, while it's likely that good ideas are becoming harder to find, a good idea today can crosspollinate with many more ideas than previously possible.

#### FIGURE 3.



#### Disciplines can move along the upstream/downstream gradient

**Notes:** Location on the upstream/downstream gradient is measured by external citations (how often other disciplines cite a piece of research) and external references (how often a piece of research cites other disciplines' research). An industry with high external citations and low external references is an upstream discipline, and an industry with low external citations and high external references is a downstream discipline. The size of the shapes implies the volume of innovation. The green shapes represent the location of the particular discipline.

Sources: Vanguard calculations, based on data from Clarivate Web of Science.

### Where is innovation occurring?

By tracking idea progression across industries, we can detect scientific research that has the potential of becoming frontier discoveries and research that has more immediate commercial viability. In our *Idea Multiplier* paper, we identified transportation and civil engineering, material sciences, and mechanics as three industries with the most noticeable increases in idea generation and sharing, suggesting a productivity surge in these industries. This holds true today, but with new technological capabilities we can dive deeper into the subresearch fields and subject areas that are experiencing measurable innovation shocks. These shocks are in both frontier knowledge responsible for longer-term potential productivity and commercial innovations whose productivity implications we may experience in short order.

In doing so, we find some unsurprising, burgeoning research fields such as environmental sciences and public, environmental, and occupational health, as well as some less obvious disciplines, including chemistry, surgery, and food science technology (Figure 4). Tracking the highest-potential ideas within these fields, we can identify associated fields that are supplementing this research through either downstream or upstream contributions. Lastly, we isolate specific fields of study that are driving these innovation shocks, such as liquified solar energy storage, environmentally friendly food packaging, and advanced brain tumor detection.

### FIGURE 4. A look at recent innovation shocks

	Field	Associated fields	Specific fields of study	
$\bigotimes$	Environmental sciences	Physics		
		Microbiology	<ul> <li>Supercapacitors, liquid solar energy, graphine</li> <li>superlattices, antibiotic resistance genes, long-</li> </ul>	
		Nutrition dietetics		
		Material sciences	cycling lithium batteries	
		Surgery		
Ŕ	Public, environmental, and occupational health	Development studies	Antimicrobial resistance, <b>malaria treatment and</b> <b>prevention</b> , statistical research design	
		Orthopedics		
		Tropical medicine		
Ð	Food science technology	Chemistry		
		Psychology	<ul> <li>Phenolic compounds, polyphenols, biopolymer</li> <li>food packaging</li> </ul>	
		Forestry		
+ . •	Chemistry	Mineralogy		
		Oceanic engineering	<ul> <li>Nanocrystals, polymeric photocatalysts,</li> <li>nanotechnology pesticide delivery</li> </ul>	
		Agriculture		
5	Surgery	Engineering		
		Cybernetics	Brain tumor detection, neurological disorders	
		Neuroimaging		
*4	Physics, optics	Limnology	Solar cell efficiency	
		Nuclear physics		
		Soil science		
	Biomedical engineering, genetics	Material sciences	<ul> <li>Genetic sequencing, DNA damage response</li> <li>genes, sweat-based wearable sensor arrays,</li> <li>gut microbiology</li> </ul>	
		Microbiology		
Y		Nanotechnology		

**Note:** Innovations in bold indicate near-term commercial viability; nonbold indicates frontier discoveries. **Sources:** Vanguard calculations, based on data from Clarivate Web of Science, as of December 31, 2021. Just as geographies can have distinct economic competitive advantages based on natural resources, demographics, and human capital, they also can have competitive knowledge advantages determined by their educational infrastructure and existing knowledge stocks (Ellison and Glaeser, 1999). Figure 5 shows the U.S. states with the most recent innovation shocks and those that have experienced the largest

increases in innovation since 2005. Although concerns have arisen in recent years of a winnertakes-all economy, wherein top performers are able to scale faster and become more dominant, scientific discovery within the U.S. has become more diversified over the past three decades. This is an encouraging sign that technology is enabling diversity of the sources of both frontier knowledge and commercial innovation.

#### FIGURE 5.

Hiah

Medium

#### More states are becoming hubs for innovation

Low

Top 10





#### innovation per capita, 2015-2021 \*

Massachusetts Maryland Rhode Island Washington Connecticut Minnesota Colorado New York Vermont Pennsylvania

#### Largest positive innovation shock change since 2005

Maryland Massachusetts Rhode Island Washington West Virginia Nebraska Vermont Montana Minnesota Connecticut

Note: The U.S. map illustration represents aggregate innovation shocks (not population-adjusted innovation shocks). Sources: Vanguard calculations, based on data from Clarivate Web of Science, as of December 31, 2021.

## The power of diverse perspectives

No assessment of innovation trends would be complete without acknowledging the significant compositional changes of research teams over recent decades. At the highest level, this is represented by the increase in cross-country knowledge sharing via technology and globalization. The rise of the global middle class has also drastically increased the number of global researchers, from 6.2 million in 2003 to 8.9 million in 2018, a 43% increase.<sup>5</sup> According to our calculations, the increase in international knowledge sharing since 1990 is responsible for more than half of all new scientific discoveries since that time (Davis et al., 2020).

But there's more to the story than researchers from one country building upon knowledge of those from another country. International collaboration within research teams has also risen drastically. As Figure 6 shows, the percentage of influential papers with authors in two or more countries has increased sevenfold since 1980, while the average distance between any two collaborators has more than doubled.<sup>6</sup> A byproduct of this collaboration is a rise in ethnic and gender diversity within research teams. In our analysis, we find that a highly diverse research team is 2.4 times more likely to produce a frontier discovery and 3.8 times more likely to discover a commercial innovation shock than a low-diversity research team.<sup>7</sup> (See the Appendix for results.)

#### FIGURE 6.

#### The diversity of research teams has evolved



**Notes:** Our gender-matching algorithm requires first names, which weren't published in academic journals prior to the mid-2000s. Gender categories were determined by classification criteria in the underlying data sets. To identify ethnicity, we used U.S. census data, Florida voter registration data, and Wikipedia data using the Ethnicolr Python statistical package created by Sood and Laohaprapanon (2018). The granularity depended on the data set. The census data we use in the model categorizes ethnicity as Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Asian, and Hispanic. The Florida voter registration data set includes nine categories of ethnicity: American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Other, Multiracial, and Unknown. We restrict our attention to the four largest racial categories in the United States, Asian, Black, Hispanic, and White, because of limited data points for the other categories. Percentages may not total 100% because of rounding.

**Sources:** Vanguard calculations, based on data from Clarivate Web of Science, Wikipedia, the Florida voter registration database, and the U.S. Census Bureau.

- 5 According to the UNESCO Science Report; available at https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000377250.
- 6 Influential papers are defined as those represented by the 10,000 most cited articles.
- 7 High-diversity research teams are defined as those that satisfy at least two of the following three conditions: researchers in two or more countries, gender heterogeneity, and ethnic heterogeneity. Low-diversity research teams are defined as those that satisfy none of these conditions.

## Higher productivity may lower stagflation risk

As many developed-market central banks, and particularly the Federal Reserve, find themselves battling decades-high inflation amid a rather unique macroeconomic backdrop, stagflation concerns have resurfaced.<sup>8</sup> Some observers fear that as the Fed aggressively raises interest rates in 2022 (likely ending the year close to a 3% federal funds rate), the U.S. economy will slow. Meanwhile, elevated energy prices and supply chain disruptions will impede the natural deflationary pressures of a slowing economy—so growth will slow, but inflation will remain elevated.

It's natural to assume that raising rates will slow the economy. That's the intent behind raising rates—reducing aggregate demand to match supply and thereby lowering inflation. But in reality, the economic relationship is more complicated. For example, raising interest rates can also improve the efficiency of capital expenditure allocation, promote foreign direct investment, and discourage risky lending, all of which are tailwinds for long-term sustainable economic growth (Cao and Illing, 2015; Sujianto et al., 2020; International Monetary Fund, 2015). Another key determinant of how the U.S. economy reacts to rising interest rates is productivity growth. As shown in **Figure 7**, the GDP response to rising interest rates varies depending on the productivity environment, with economic growth less sensitive to rising rates during a period of higher productivity growth.

#### FIGURE 7.

## Higher productivity growth mitigates the GDP response to higher interest rates



**Notes:** *Higher productivity regime* is defined as the three-year moving average total factor productivity (TFP) growth exceeding the prior three-year moving average TFP growth. *Lower productivity regime* is defined as the three-year moving average TFP growth lagging the prior three-year moving average TFP growth. A basis point is one-hundredth of a percentage point.

**Sources:** Vanguard calculations, based on data from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis FRED database.

8 Stagflation is defined as stalling or declining economic growth coupled with high inflation.

## New ideas and a diverse perspective are driving innovation

Our conviction in the optimistic productivity outlook we expressed in our 2020 *Idea Multiplier* paper has only increased as businesses were forced to rapidly evolve during the COVID-19 pandemic. We now expect U.S. GDP per capita growth to average 2.0%–2.5% from 2020 to 2030 (Figure 8), a pace we haven't seen in decades and a positive development for wage growth, asset returns, and economic opportunity (Davis et al., 2020). This productivity boom has been bubbling under the surface following the global financial crisis, supported by research in less visible upstream disciplines that needed time to permeate downstream and find their commercial utility.

There's also reason to be optimistic that the economic benefits of this productivity surge will be more widespread as the concentration of innovation shocks has declined in recent years. Globalization and technology facilitated international knowledge sharing, but just as importantly, they changed the composition of research teams by increasing intellectual and demographic diversity, which our research shows increases the likelihood of producing frontier and commercial innovation.

#### FIGURE 8.

Higher productivity growth may result in the fastest-growing decade for U.S. GDP since the 1990s



**Sources:** Vanguard calculations, based on data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Thomson Reuters, the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis FRED database, and Clarivate Web of Science.

## References

Cao, Jin, and Gerhard Illing, 2015. "Interest Rate Trap", or Why Does the Central Bank Keep the Policy Rate Too Low for Too Long? *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 117(4): 1256– 1280.

Davis, Joseph, Qian Wang, Andrew Patterson, Adam J. Schickling, and Asawari Sathe, 2020. *The Idea Multiplier: An Acceleration in Innovation Is Coming.* Valley Forge, Pa.: The Vanguard Group.

Ellison, Glenn, and Edward L. Glaeser, 1999. The Geographic Concentration of Industry: Does Natural Advantage Explain Agglomeration? *American Economic Review* 89(2): 311–316.

Goldfarb, Brent D., 2002. Adoption of General Purpose Technologies: Understanding Adoption Patterns in the Electrification of US Manufacturing 1880–1930. Dissertation Chapter Department of Economics Stanford University; available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/ abstract=299395 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ ssrn.299395.

Helper, Susan, and Morris M. Kleiner, 2009. International Differences in Lean Production, Productivity and Employee Attitudes. National Bureau of Economic Research. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

International Monetary Fund, 2015. *Monetary Policy and Financial Stability*. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund. Lund, Susan, Anu Madgavkar, James Manyika, Sven Smit, Kweilin Ellingrud, and Olivia Robinson, 2021. *The Future of Work After COVID-19*. McKinsey Global Institute, McKinsey & Company; available at <u>https://www.mckinsey.com/</u> featured-insights/future-of-work/the-future-ofwork-after-covid-19.

Marx, Matt, and Aaron Fuegi, 2020. Reliance on Science: Worldwide Front-Page Patent Citations to Scientific Articles. *Strategic Management Journal* 41(9): 1572–1594.

Remes, Jaana, Jan Mischke, and Mekala Krishnan, 2018. Solving the Productivity Puzzle: The Role of Demand and the Promise of Digitization. *International Productivity Monitor* 34: 28–51.

Solow, Robert M., 1987. We'd Better Watch Out. New York Times Book Review, July 12: 36.

Sood, Gaurav, and Suriyan Laohaprapanon, 2018. Predicting Race and Ethnicity From the Sequence of Characters in a Name. arXiv preprint; available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02109.

Sujianto, Agus Eko, Pribawa E. Pantas, Mashudi Mashudi, Dwi Santosa Pambudi, and Bagus Shandy Narmaditya, 2020. Do Real Interest Rate, Gross Domestic Savings, and Net Exports Matter in Economic Growth? Evidence from Indonesia. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 7(11): 127–135; available at https://doi. org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no11.127.

#### FIGURE A-1.

### Effectiveness of research teams

	Low diversity	Medium diversity	High diversity
n	13,531	11,370	10,300
Frontier discovery (%)	454 (3.3%)	464 (4.0%)	842 (8.2%)
Commercial innovation (%)	351 (2.6%)	391 (3.4%)	1,017 (9.9%)

Notes: *High-diversity research teams* are defined as those that satisfy at least two of the following three conditions: researchers in two or more countries, gender heterogeneity, and ethnic heterogeneity; *medium-diversity research teams* are defined as those that satisfy one of these conditions; and *low-diversity research teams* are defined as those that satisfy one of these conditions. Diversity classifications are exclusive. The initial data set includes only highly cited research papers; listed percentages are from this refined set. Commercial innovation must have citations in the 95th percentile once adjusting for research age and research discipline. Frontier discoveries have a citation/reference ratio in the 95th percentile. Innovations can be considered both a commercial innovation and frontier discovery; this occurred 1,061 times in our data set. We control for a paper's age and research discipline because older papers have a longer time period to collect citations and disciplines have varied citation patterns. This attempts to minimize any bias from potential reverse causality, wherein highly cited fields may result in more-diverse research teams than less cited fields.

## Vanguard

© 2022 The Vanguard Group, Inc. All rights reserved. Vanguard Marketing Corporation, Distributor.

ISGAMER 062022

## Connect with Vanguard<sup>®</sup>

vanguard.com

CFA® is a registered trademark owned by CFA Institute.

#### **Important Information**

VIGM, S.A. de C.V. Asesor en Inversiones Independiente ("Vanguard Mexico") registration number: 30119-001-(14831)-19/09/2018. The registration of Vanguard Mexico before the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores ("CNBV") as an Asesor en Inversiones Independiente is not a certification of Vanguard Mexico's compliance with regulation applicable to Advisory Investment Services (Servicios de Inversión Asesorados) nor a certification on the accuracy of the information provided herein. The supervision scope of the CNBV is limited to Advisory Investment Services only and not all services provided by Vanguard Mexico.

This material is solely for informational purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security, nor shall any such securities be offered or sold to any person, in any jurisdiction in which an offer, solicitation, purchase or sale would be unlawful under the securities law of that jurisdiction. Reliance upon information in this material is at the sole discretion of the recipient.

Securities information provided in this document must be reviewed together with the offering information of each of the securities which may be found on Vanguard's website: https://www.vanguardmexico.com/institutional/products/ en/list/overview or www.vanguard.com

Vanguard Mexico may recommend products of The Vanguard Group Inc. and its affiliates and such affiliates and their clients may maintain positions in the securities recommended by Vanguard Mexico.

ETFs can be bought and sold only through a broker and cannot be redeemed with the issuing fund other than in very large aggregations. Investing in ETFs entails stockbroker commission and a bid-offer spread which should be considered fully before investing. The market price of ETF Shares may be more or less than net asset value.

All investments are subject to risk, including the possible loss of the money you invest. Investments in bond funds are subject to interest rate, credit, and inflation risk. Governmental backing of securities applies only to the underlying securities and does not prevent share-price fluctuations. High-yield bonds generally have medium- and lower-range credit quality ratings and are therefore subject to a higher level of credit risk than bonds with higher credit quality ratings.

There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Prices of mid- and small-cap stocks often fluctuate more than those of largecompany stocks. Funds that concentrate on a relatively narrow market sector face the risk of higher share-price volatility. Stocks of companies are subject to national and regional political and economic risks and to the risk of currency fluctuations, these risks are especially high in emerging markets. Changes in exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the value, price or income of a fund.

The information contained in this material derived from third-party sources is deemed reliable, however Vanguard Mexico and The Vanguard Group Inc. are not responsible and do not guarantee the completeness or accuracy of such information.

This document should not be considered as an investment recommendation, a recommendation can only be provided by Vanguard Mexico upon completion of the relevant profiling and legal processes.

This document is for educational purposes only and does not take into consideration your background and specific circumstances nor any other investment profiling circumstances that could be material for taking an investment decision. We recommend getting professional advice based on your individual circumstances before taking an investment decision.

These materials are intended for institutional and sophisticated investors use only and not for public distribution.

Materials are provided only for the recipient's exclusive use and shall not be distributed to any other individual or entity. Broker-dealers, advisers, and other intermediaries must determine whether their clients are eligible for investment in the products discussed herein.

The information contained herein does not constitute an offer or solicitation and may not be treated as such in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation is against the law, or to anyone for whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation, or if the person making the offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so.

THESE MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED AT THE REQUEST OF AND FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF RECIPIENT AND CONTAIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, WHICH SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY THIRD PARTIES WITHOUT VANGUARD'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. THE CONTENTS OF THESE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE UNDERSTOOD AS AN OFFER OR SOLICITATION TO BUY OR SELL SECURITIES IN BRAZIL AND VANGUARD IS NOT MAKING ANY REPRESENTATION WITH RESPECT TO THE ELIGIBILITY OF ANY RECIPIENT OF THESE MATERIALS TO ACQUIRE THE INTERESTS IN THE SECURITIES DESCRIBED HEREIN UNDER THE LAWS OF BRAZIL. SUCH SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED IN BRAZIL AND NONE OF THE INTERESTS IN SUCH SECURITIES MAY BE OFFERED, SOLD, OR DELIVERED, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, IN BRAZIL OR TO ANY RESIDENT OF BRAZIL EXCEPT PURSUANT TO THE APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF BRAZIL.

PROVIDED AT THE REQUEST OF AND FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE RECIPIENT. THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE UNDERSTOOD AS AN OFFER OR SOLICITATION TO BUY OR SELL SECURITIES IN BRAZIL AND VANGUARD IS NOT MAKING ANY REPRESENTATION WITH RESPECT TO THE ELIGIBILITY OF ANY RECIPIENT OF THIS DOCUMENT TO INVEST IN SECURITIES DESCRIBED HEREIN.

This document is provided at the request of and for the exclusive use of the recipient and does not constitute, and is not intended to constitute, a public offer in the Republic of Colombia, or an unlawful promotion of financial/capital market products. The offer of the financial products described herein is addressed to fewer than one hundred specifically identified investors. The financial products described herein may not be promoted or marketing is made in compliance with Decree 2555/2010 and other applicable rules and regulations related to the promotion of foreign financial/capital market products in Colombia.

The financial products described herein are not and will not be registered before the Colombian National Registry of Securities and Issuers (Registro Nacional de Valores y Emisores - RNVE) maintained by the Colombian Financial Superintendency, or before the Colombian Stock Exchange. Accordingly, the distribution of any documentation in regard to the financial products described here in will not constitute a public offering of securities in Colombia.

The financial products described herein may not be offered, sold or negotiated in Colombia, except under circumstances which do not constitute a public offering of securities under applicable Colombian securities laws and regulations; provided that, any authorized person of a firm authorized to offer foreign securities in Colombia must abide by the terms of Decree 2555/2010 to offer such products privately to its Colombian clients.

The distribution of this material and the offering of securities may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. The information contained in this material is for general guidance only, and it is the responsibility of any person or persons in possession of this material and wishing to make application for securities to inform themselves of, and to observe, all applicable laws and regulations of any relevant jurisdiction. Prospective applicants for securities should inform themselves of any applicable legal requirements, exchange control regulations and applicable taxes in the countries of their respective citizenship, residence or domicile.

This offer conforms to General Rule No. 336 of the Chilean Financial Market Commission (Comisión para el Mercado Financiero). The offer deals with securities not registered under Securities Market Law, nor in the Securities Registry nor in the Foreign Securities Registry of the Chilean Financial Market Commission, and therefore such securities are not subject to its oversight. Since such securities are not registered in Chile, the issuer is not obligated to provide public information in Chile regarding the securities. The securities shall not be subject to public offering unless they are duly registered in the corresponding Securities Registry in Chile. The issuer of the securities is not registered in the Registries maintained by the Chilean Financial Market Commission, therefore it is not subject to the supervision of the Chilean Financial Market Commission or the obligations of continuous information. Esta oferta se acoge a la norma de carácter general No. 336 de la Comisión para el Mercado Financiero. La oferta versa sobre valores no inscritos bajo la Ley de Mercado de Valores en el Registro de Valores o en el Registro de Valores extranjeros que lleva la Comisión para el Mercado Financiero, por lo que tales valores no están sujetos a la fiscalización de ésta. Por tratarse de valores no inscritos, no existe la obligación por parte del emisor de entregar en Chile información pública respecto de esos valores. Los valores no podrán ser objeto de oferta pública mientras no sean inscritos en el Registro de Valores correspondiente. El emisor de los valores no se encuentra inscrito en los Registros que mantiene la Comisión para el Mercado Financiero, por lo que no se encuentra sometido a la fiscalización de la Comisión para el Mercado financiero ni a las obligaciones de información continua.

The securities described herein have not been registered under the Peruvian Securities Market Law (Decreto Supremo No 093-2002-EF) or before the Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores (the "SMV"). There will be no public offering of the securities in Peru and the securities may only be offered or sold to institutional investors (as defined in Appendix I of the Institutional Investors Market Regulation) in Peru by means of a private placement. The securities offered and sold in Peru may not be sold or transferred to any person other than an institutional investor unless such securities have been registered with the Registro Público del Mercado de Valores kept by the SMV. The SMV has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This material is for the exclusive use of institutional investors in Peru and is not for public distribution.

The financial products described herein may be offered or sold in Bermuda only in compliance with the provisions of the Investment Business Act 2003 of Bermuda. Additionally, non-Bermudian persons may not carry on or engage in any trade or business in Bermuda unless such persons are authorized to do so under applicable Bermuda legislation. Engaging in the activity of offering or marketing the financial products described herein in Bermuda to persons in Bermuda may be deemed to be carrying on business in Bermuda.

Vanguard does not intend, and is not licensed or registered, to conduct business in, from or within the Cayman Islands, and the interests in the financial products described herein shall not be offered to members of the public in the Cayman Islands.

The financial products described herein have not been and will not be registered with the Securities Commission of The Bahamas. The financial products described herein are offered to persons who are non-resident or otherwise deemed non-resident for Bahamian Exchange Control purposes. The financial products described herein are not intended for persons (natural persons or legal entities) for which an offer or purchase would contravene the laws of their state (on account of nationality or domicile/registered office of the person concerned or for other reasons). Further, the offer constitutes an exempt distribution for the purposes of the Securities Industry Act, 2011 and the Securities Industry Regulations, 2012 of the Commonwealth of The Bahamas.

This document is not, and is not intended as, a public offer or advertisement of, or solicitation in respect of, securities, investments, or other investment business in the British Virgin Islands ("BVI"), and is not an offer to sell, or a solicitation or invitation to make offers to purchase or subscribe for, any securities, other investments, or services constituting investment business in BVI. Neither the securities mentioned in this document nor any prospectus or other document relating to them have been or are intended to be registered or filed with the Financial Services Commission of BVI or any department thereof.

This document is not intended to be distributed to individuals that are members of the public in the BVI or otherwise to individuals in the BVI. The funds are only available to, and any invitation or offer to subscribe, purchase, or otherwise acquire such funds will be made only to, persons outside the BVI, with the exception of persons resident in the BVI solely by virtue of being a company incorporated in the BVI or persons who are not considered to be "members of the public" under the Securities and Investment Business Act, 2010 ("SIBA").

Any person who receives this document in the BVI (other than a person who is not considered a member of the public in the BVI for purposes of SIBA, or a person resident in the BVI solely by virtue of being a company incorporated in the BVI and this document is received at its registered office in the BVI) should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

#### **Connect with Vanguard®**

Any person who receives this document in the BVI (other than a person who is not considered a member of the public in the BVI for purposes of SIBA, or a person resident in the BVI solely by virtue of being a company incorporated in the BVI and this document is received at its registered office in the BVI) should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

The sale of the VGSH qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18.627. Vanguard represents and agrees that it has not offered or sold, and will not offer or sell, any VGSH to the public in Uruguay, except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Uruguayan laws and regulations. Neither the VGSH nor issuer are or will be registered with the Superintendency of Financial Services of the Central Bank of Uruguay to be publicly offered in Uruguay."

The VGSH correspond to investment funds that are not investment funds regulated by Uruguayan law 16,774 dated 27 September 1996, as amended.

This document does not constitute an offer or solicitation to invest in the securities mentioned herein. It is directed at professional / sophisticated investors in the United States for their use and information. The financial products describe herein are only available for investment by non-U.S. investors, and this document should not be given to a retail investor in the United States. Any entity responsible for forwarding this material, which is produced by VIGM, S.A. de C.V., Asesor en Inversiones Independiente in Mexico, to other parties takes responsibility for ensuring compliance with applicable securities laws in connection with its distribution.

## Vanguard

© 2022 The Vanguard Group, Inc. All rights reserved.